

Professor Haynes demonstrates an impressive familiarity with the enormous corpus of English-language works about Bonhoeffer, including theological analyses, biographical writing, and popular material. He even quotes at one point President George W. Bush's line about Bonhoeffer uttered at a university commencement address. He is also conversant with Holocaust literature, especially the work on rescuers. His final analysis makes judgments about Bonhoeffer's life and thought from the vantage point of Christian theology.

Haynes, like all who have tried to probe the theologian's thinking about Jews, devotes considerable attention to Bonhoeffer's essay, "The Church and the Jewish Question," written shortly after Hitler took power in 1933. In this essay Bonhoeffer makes his most explicit commentary about Jews. He distinguished between Christians of Jewish descent and religious Jews and held the old notion that the suffering through history resulted from the Jewish crucifixion of Christ. The solution to the Jewish "problem" then for Bonhoeffer was the conversion of the Jews to Christianity. While Bonhoeffer's later theological work showed much greater appreciation for the Jewish Bible and his words and actions increasingly displayed sensitivity to the suffering of Jews, he never repudiated his positions of 1933.

One of Bonhoeffer's most dangerous and courageous actions was his participation in 1942 in Operation Seven, a conspiracy to spirit fourteen German Jews to Switzerland by disguising them as agents of the Abwehr, the military intelligence office that was a hub of the German resistance movement. It was Bonhoeffer's role in this operation that brought his arrest by the Gestapo and ultimately his execution in 1945.

Haynes notes that no consensus has emerged in Jewish understandings of Bonhoeffer's life and work. Perhaps because of his earlier words, the Yad Vashem in Jerusalem has on repeated occasions since the mid-1980s refused to include Bonhoeffer on its list of righteous Gentiles, despite his role as a rescuer of Jews in Operation Seven.

Christians, on the other hand, have tried to make Bonhoeffer's thought out to be a post-Holocaust theology for several reasons. Not least of these is the desperate need Christians have had after 1945 to find a hero among German Protestants in the Third Reich. This involved wishful thinking, anachronistically reading things into the spare words of a man who probably had never heard the word Auschwitz. Another explanation for the generous interpretation of Bonhoeffer is what Haynes calls the "Bethge effect," after Bonhoeffer's best friend and biographer, who so determined what Christians knew and thought about Bonhoeffer until Bethge's own death in 2000. Bethge himself came to a much greater awareness of the Holocaust in the 1970s, partly in relation to his sensitivity about the apartheid system of South Africa. Bethge's heightened awareness of the Holocaust, Haynes argues, became a prism through which Bonhoeffer has been interpreted in the last three decades.
Haynes argues that the conundrum in evaluating Bonhoeffer’s stance toward Jews comes from one’s interpretive perspective—whether one looks at Bonhoeffer’s words or his actions, whether one sees the glass as half full or half empty. But Haynes does much more than review the praise and criticisms in existing scholarship. Haynes believes Bonhoeffer throughout his life expressed ambivalence about Jews, and this consistent ambivalence is central to his understanding of the relationship of Jews to Christianity. Haynes says the ambivalence is not unique to Bonhoeffer, but is deeply rooted in the Christian tradition. Haynes calls this ambivalence the witness-people theological tradition, which sees Jews as "special," a people both loved and punished by God. The survival of Jews bears witness to their rejection of Christ and their supersession by Christians as the chosen people. Bonhoeffer’s statements about Jews as "special" that are offensive to many, according to Haynes, are linked to Bonhoeffer’s motivations for sympathy, resistance, and rescue of Jews. What some might consider antisemitism is a form of philosemitism. The core of his sympathy for Jews was not for their universalistic claims on human rights, but on their specialness for both God and Christians. For Haynes, this is the real Bonhoeffer, whose ambivalence was consistent and theologically grounded, not indecisive, hand-wringing, or contradictory. For Haynes, this Bonhoeffer is no simple hero, but he is nonetheless inspiring.
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